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# Introduction

1. This submission is made by the Australian Human Rights Commission. The Commission is an ‘A status’ national human rights institution established and operating in full compliance with the Paris Principles.
2. The Commission has a statutory power to promote and protect human rights under the *Australian Human Rights Commission Act 1986* (Cth) (AHRC Act). The AHRC Act defines human rights as the international instruments scheduled to or declared under the AHRC Act, which includes the *International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights* (ICCPR). Further information about the Commission can be found at [www.humanrights.gov.au](http://www.humanrights.gov.au).
3. The Commission thanks the United Nations Human Rights Committee (the Committee) for the opportunity to provide a written contribution prior to its consideration of Australia’s sixth periodic report under the ICCPR. The Commission looks forward to further engaging with the Committee.
4. The Commission congratulates the Australian Government on the steps it has taken to improve Australia’s human rights situation following the Committee’s concluding observations in respect of Australia’s fifth periodic report. However, the primary purpose of this submission is to identify issues of human rights concern.
5. This submission is based on work that has been undertaken by the Commission in accordance with its mandate and functions, or otherwise on publicly available information. The Commission has brought the issues raised in this submission to the attention of the Australian Government.
6. This submission provides information concerning the civil and political rights of key population groups in Australia and other thematic issues engaging civil and political rights. In relation to each section, the Commission has referred to the relevant articles of the ICCPR engaged and (where appropriate) the relevant paragraph of the Committee’s list of issues prior to reporting dated 9 November 2012.
7. The Commission’s proposed recommendations are contained in the body of this submission and also compiled in **Attachment 1**.

# Priority areas

1. The Commission considers all of the information and recommendations provided in this submission to be of importance to Australia’s compliance with the ICCPR. However, the Commission wishes to draw the Committee’s attention to five priority areas of particular importance:
* The Australian Government’s ratification of the *Optional Protocol to the Convention Against Torture and other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment* (OPCAT) by December 2017 and progressive implementation immediately thereafter, as discussed in [section 4](#_Prevention_of_torture).
* The Australian Government’s commitment to adopt national justice targets to reduce the rates of imprisonment of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander adults and juveniles, and to resource a national strategy to achieve this, as discussed in [section 5.2](#_Justice_system_(ICCPR).
* The Australian Government’s immigration policy (especially as it relates to refugees and asylum seekers), as discussed in [section 10.1](#_Refugees_and_asylum).
* All Australian governments’ compliance with, and implementation of, the recommendations of the Royal Commission into Institutional Responses to Child Sexual Abuse, as discussed in [section 11.2](#_Violence_against_children).
* The Australian Government’s review of counter-terrorism laws to ensure any limitation on human rights is clearly expressed, necessary for the pursuit of a legitimate purpose, reasonable and proportionate, as discussed in [section 14](#_Counter-terrorism).
1. The Committee should request an update from the Australian Government on its progress in relation to these five priority areas in 12 months time under rule 72 of the Rules of procedure.[[1]](#endnote-1)

# General information

## Scrutiny of human rights and the Parliamentary Joint Committee on Human Rights (ICCPR article 2; LOI 2 & 4)

1. The Commission commends the Government for establishing the Parliamentary Joint Committee on Human Rights (PJCHR).
2. The PJCHR analyses bills and legislative instruments introduced into the federal Parliament for compliance with human rights. Some other parliamentary committees also scrutinise legislation taking into account compliance with human rights.[[2]](#endnote-2) Since August 2012, the PJCHR has produced over 65 reports to Parliament assessing over 960 bills.[[3]](#endnote-3)
3. The *Human Rights (Parliamentary Scrutiny) Act 2011* (Cth) requires each bill, regulation and ordinance introduced into Parliament to be accompanied by a statement of compatibility with human rights, defined as the seven core international human rights instruments to which Australia is a party.[[4]](#endnote-4)
4. The Commission is concerned that legislators do not always have an opportunity to consider the PJCHR’s views during the legislative process with bills sometimes passed into law before the PJCHR has released its conclusions.[[5]](#endnote-5)
5. The Commission is concerned that there is varying quality in the drafting of statements of compatibility within and across Government departments. [[6]](#endnote-6) Some statements of compatibility devote cursory attention to assessing a draft law’s identified impingement on human rights and some simply assert (without due explanation) that a draft law is compatible with human rights even where an impingement on rights has been acknowledged.[[7]](#endnote-7)
6. **That the Australian Government ensure that concerns raised by the Parliamentary Joint Committee on Human Rights are fully considered in the legislative process.**
7. **That the Australian Government ensure that all statements of compatibility are consistently of a high standard and are supported by evidence and analysis.**

## Domestic incorporation (ICCPR article 2; LOI 4)

1. Australia has passed legislation in areas such as discrimination, privacy and freedom of information, which incorporate some important elements of the ICCPR into domestic law. However, there remain many rights in the ICCPR that have not been domestically incorporated in accordance with article 2(2).
2. The Commission notes that the Australian Law Reform Commission (ALRC) has made a number of suggestions to improve the mechanisms and processes for the scrutiny of laws for compatibility with rights and freedoms (including the PJCHR).[[8]](#endnote-8)
3. The scrutiny provided for by the PJCHR, while a welcome extension of existing parliamentary rights review mechanisms, is not a substitute for full incorporation of the ICCPR into domestic law through mechanisms such as a national human rights Act.
4. The Commission notes that Victoria and the Australian Capital Territory each have a general human rights statute,[[9]](#endnote-9) and other states are also considering similar legislation.[[10]](#endnote-10) The Commission is concerned that, absent comprehensive human rights law, there are gaps and inconsistencies in the protection of human rights in Australia.
5. The Commission commends the Government’s establishment of a Standing National Human Rights Mechanism to strengthen its engagement with human rights reporting. This is an opportunity to improve the timeliness of Australia’s responses to UN treaty body communications.
6. **That the Australian Government further incorporate the ICCPR into Australian legislation**.

## Human rights education (ICCPR article 2; LOI 2)

1. The Commission commends the inclusion of some references to human rights in the national school curriculum, although considers that this remains too limited. Human rights education for public servants remains limited, especially for those officials in the administration of justice and with legislative responsibilities to develop statements of compatibility with human rights for new legislation.
2. The Commission also notes that Australia’s activities for the World Program for Human Rights Education are ad hoc and uncoordinated.
3. **That the Australian Government support human rights education for all areas of the public sector, particularly in the administration of justice and places of detention, including targeted initiatives for public officials; and incorporate more comprehensive human rights education (including ICCPR rights) in the national school curriculum.**

# Prevention of torture (ICCPR articles 7 & 10; LOI 17)

1. The Commission remains concerned by the gaps in independent monitoring of places of detention in Australia. We commend the Government’s commitment to ratify OPCAT by December 2017.[[11]](#endnote-11)
2. **That the Australian Government fulfil its commitment to ratify OPCAT by December 2017, in accordance with its timetable, and commence its progressive implementation immediately thereafter. The Commission considers this issue to be of such importance that the Committee should request an update from the Australian Government on progress in 12 months time.**

# Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples

## UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (ICCPR article 2; LOI 2)

1. The Commission considers that more robust consideration and implementation of the Declaration would enhance the ability of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples to realise their civil and political rights.
2. The Special Rapporteur on the rights of indigenous peoples has called for the Declaration to be specifically included in the definition of human rights in the *Human Rights (Parliamentary Scrutiny) Act 2011* (Cth).[[12]](#endnote-12)
3. **That the Australian Government, in partnership with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, develop a National Strategy to give effect to the *UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples*.**

**Further, that the Declaration be included in the definition of ‘human rights’ in the *Human Rights (Parliamentary Scrutiny) Act 2011* (Cth) to ensure that new legislation is regularly assessed for conformity with the Declaration.**

## Justice system (ICCPR articles 2, 7, 9, 10 & 13; LOI 13, 17 & 18)

1. The Commission is extremely concerned that Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people are significantly overrepresented in Australia’s prison population,[[13]](#endnote-13) especially those with mental health disorders or cognitive disability[[14]](#endnote-14) and children.[[15]](#endnote-15) Rates of imprisonment of Indigenous women have risen substantially in the past decade.
2. The issue of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander deaths in police custody and in prison has been cause for alarm for several decades. Nationally in 2014–15, there were 11 deaths in police custody, of which five were Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander deaths.[[16]](#endnote-16)
3. Laws and policies disproportionately affecting Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people have contributed to these incarceration rates. In particular, the Commission notes:
* ‘Paperless arrest’ laws in the Northern Territory provide police with the power to detain a person for up to four hours (or longer if the person is intoxicated) if they suspect the person has committed or is about to commit an ‘infringement notice offence’ (a minor offence).[[17]](#endnote-17)
* Bail laws and policies have become more restrictive in Australia and have led to a significant increase in the number of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people held on remand.[[18]](#endnote-18)
* The Special Rapporteur on the rights of Indigenous peoples has said that mandatory sentencing laws need to be reviewed.[[19]](#endnote-19)
* The Commission has previously reported on several cases where indigenous people are in prolonged detention due to being considered ‘unfit to plead’ resulting in them being detained for a period longer than the maximum sentence if they had been tried and found guilty. [[20]](#endnote-20)
* Imprisonment from fine default — often caused by small overdue fines for criminal offences that, on their own, do not carry an imprisonment penalty — is contributing to high incarceration rates.[[21]](#endnote-21)
1. The Commission notes that the current inquiry by the ALRC into the incarceration of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples will assist in identifying laws requiring amendment to reduce Indigenous incarceration.[[22]](#endnote-22)
2. The Commission has advocated that justice targets be set to halve the gap in rates of incarceration for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, as compared with non-Indigenous people.[[23]](#endnote-23) The Senate Legal and Constitutional Affairs Committee and successive Social Justice Commissioners have recommended justice reinvestment strategies.[[24]](#endnote-24)
3. Noting that a federal approach is needed to address imprisonment rates and the experience of violence of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, the Commission welcomes the Change the Record Coalition’s *Blueprint for change*.[[25]](#endnote-25)
4. The Special Rapporteur on the rights of indigenous peoples has recommended that efforts be made to recruit Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander prison staff and that police and prison staff be trained in cultural sensitivity.[[26]](#endnote-26)
5. **That all** **Australian governments commit to national justice targets to reduce the rates of imprisonment of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander adults and juveniles, and resource a national strategy to achieve this. The Commission considers this issue to be of such importance that the Committee should request an update from the Australian Government on progress in 12 months time.**

## Native title and land rights (ICCPR article 27; LOI 25)

1. The Commission welcomes recent initiatives to address barriers to the recognition of native title and challenges to enabling Indigenous-led development on the Indigenous Estate, such as the Council of Australian Governments’ (COAG’s) Investigation into Indigenous Land Administration and Use[[27]](#endnote-27) and the ALRC’s review of the *Native Title Act 1993* (Cth).[[28]](#endnote-28)
2. The Government has released a White Paper on the development of northern Australia. Significant tranches of land in northern Australia (Western Australia, Queensland and the Northern Territory) are held by Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. Serious concerns have been raised about the extent of genuine engagement by governments with these land holders on issues that affect them and the effectiveness of laws for the protection and management of Indigenous heritage sites and knowledge.[[29]](#endnote-29)
3. **That the Australian Government:**
* **ensure that any reforms that affect Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples’ lands are undertaken with their free, prior and informed consent.**
* **implement the recommendations of the ALRC’s Connection to Country Review of the *Native Title Act 1993* (Cth) and the Council of Australian Governments’ Investigation into Indigenous Land Administration and Use in order to better recognise and protect Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples’ native title rights and interests.**
* **ensure that Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples’ rights to their traditional estates are not negatively affected by the development of northern Australia.**

## Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women (ICCPR articles 2, 3, 7 & 26; LOI 10)

1. Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women are particularly at risk of violence.[[30]](#endnote-30) They are hospitalised for family violence-related assault at 30 times the rate of non-Indigenous women.[[31]](#endnote-31)
2. The Commission notes that the *National Plan to Reduce Violence against Women and their Children 2010 – 2022*, which has been developed to support all women (including Indigenous women) and their children experiencing violence, builds on COAG’s commitment under the Close the Gap framework.[[32]](#endnote-32) The Commission notes that action on violence against Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women should be developed in close consultation with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women and other relevant stakeholders.
3. Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women account for 34% of the adult female prison population.[[33]](#endnote-33) It is also important to note that 80% of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women in prison are mothers.[[34]](#endnote-34)
4. **That the Australian Government:**
* **commit to cut the disproportionate rates of violence against Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people to close the gap by 2040 (with priority strategies for women and children);**
* **prioritise early intervention and prevention initiatives that provide comprehensive support and protection from violence to vulnerable Indigenous populations including women, children and the elderly.**

## Democratic participation (ICCPR articles 1, 2, 25, 26 & 27; LOI 23)

### Funding to representative bodies

1. The Commission notes that reform of the justice system must be undertaken in partnership with the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peak legal and representative bodies. The Government has provided limited funding support for the National Congress of Australia’s First Peoples, a national representative voice for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. As noted by the Special Rapporteur on the rights of indigenous peoples, ‘explicit defunding since 2014 … runs counter to the Government’s stated commitment to work with [I]ndigenous peoples.’[[35]](#endnote-35)
2. **That the Australian Government provide adequate ongoing funding of the National Congress of Australia’s First Peoples and to Indigenous legal assistance programs.**

### Constitutional recognition

1. The Commission commends the Government for supporting the ongoing consultation process with Indigenous representatives regarding constitutional recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.
2. The Commission notes the First Nations National Constitutional Convention to discuss constitutional recognition (Uluru Convention), held on 23–26 May 2017, at which the Uluru Statement of the Heart (Uluru Statement) was adopted. The Uluru Statement calls for the establishment of a First Nations’ Voice enshrined in the Constitution and of a Makarrata Commission to supervise a process of agreement making between governments and First Nations that includes truth-telling about Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples’ history. The Commission welcomes the Uluru Statement and supports the calls for a First Nations’ voice to Parliament.[[36]](#endnote-36)
3. The Special Rapporteur on the rights of indigenous peoples has encouraged the Australian Government to explore the possibility of a national treaty with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.[[37]](#endnote-37)
4. **That the Australian Government develop with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples a model for constitutional recognition and for a First Nations’ voice to Parliament.**

## Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people with disability (ICCPR articles 2, 7 & 9)

1. Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people with disabilities face institutional and endemic discrimination because of their disability and Indigenous status.[[38]](#endnote-38)
2. The Commission notes the Government’s commitment in the *National Disability Strategy Second Implementation Plan: Driving Action 2015–18* to develop an *Australian Government Plan to Improve Outcomes for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander People with Disability.*[[39]](#endnote-39)
3. **That the Australian Government develop the *Plan to Improve Outcomes for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander People with Disability.***

# Older persons

## Elder abuse (ICCPR articles 2, 7 & 9)

1. Elder abuse is a fundamental human rights issue faced by many older people. Commonly recognised forms of elder abuse include psychological/emotional abuse; physical abuse; sexual abuse; financial abuse; and neglect.[[40]](#endnote-40) Some Australians have heightened vulnerability to elder abuse, such as older people in culturally and linguistically diverse communities, older people in rural and remote areas, older people with cognitive impairments and/or other disabilities, older Indigenous people, and older women.[[41]](#endnote-41)
2. The Commission welcomes the ALRC’s report *Elder Abuse—A National Legal Response*[[42]](#endnote-42) and other relevant independent and government-funded studies.[[43]](#endnote-43)
3. The Commission welcomes the Government’s commitment to developing a national plan to better protect the rights of older Australians, including a prevalence study into elder abuse, pilot training programmes for frontline staff and an awareness and education campaign.[[44]](#endnote-44)
4. The Commission also welcomes the Government’s commitment of $50 million to improve seniors’ digital literacy, which will include the development of an online safety strategy for senior Australians.[[45]](#endnote-45)
5. The Commission commends the establishment of an eSafety Commissioner.[[46]](#endnote-46) The eSafety Commissioner’s role initially focused on cyberbullying of young people, but has since expanded to include improving the digital confidence and skills of senior Australians, and establishing a national online complaints mechanism.[[47]](#endnote-47) The Government has also committed to undertaking a prevalence study. A scoping study has already been undertaken and a tender has been issued.
6. **That the Australian Government implement recommendations from the ALRC’s report *Elder Abuse—A National Legal Response* and continue to work towards the development of a national plan to tackle elder abuse.**

## Access to work (ICCPR articles 2 & 25(c))

1. In the *Willing to Work National Inquiry into Employment Discrimination against Older Australians and Australians with Disability* (*Willing to Work* Report),[[48]](#endnote-48) the Commission reported:
* In 2015, 27% of people over the age of 50 had recently experienced discrimination in the workplace.[[49]](#endnote-49)
* In 2015, 53.4% of people with disability were participating in the labour force, compared to 83.2% of people without disability.[[50]](#endnote-50)
1. There has been no formal Government response to the *Willing to Work* Report and no Government-led action plan to implement its recommendations.
2. **That the Australian Government implement the recommendations in the *Willing to Work* Report to reduce employment discrimination against older Australians and Australians with disability.**

# People with disability

## Prisoners and mental health (ICCPR articles 2, 9 & 10; LOI 17)

1. People with disability have disproportionately high rates of interaction with the criminal justice system and are significantly overrepresented in Australia’s prison population.[[51]](#endnote-51) Necessary supports and adjustments for people with disability are frequently not provided in the criminal justice system.[[52]](#endnote-52)
2. The Commission commends the Government on its commitment to improve the way the criminal justice system treats people with cognitive disability who are unfit to plead or found not guilty due to mental impairment,[[53]](#endnote-53) and to analyse existing data and develop best practice resources in Australia.
3. The Commission notes that implementation of OPCAT will provide for improved monitoring of conditions of detention for people with disability.
4. **That the Australian Government, through the Council of Australian Governments, develop appropriate support for people with disability, particularly those with mental health disorders and cognitive impairment, including national guidelines on ‘fitness to plead’.**

## Freedom from exploitation, violence and abuse (ICCPR articles 7 & 9; LOI 13)

1. The Commission welcomes the Government’s commitment to establishing the National Disability Insurance Scheme (NDIS) Quality and Safeguards Commission to oversee the NDIS Quality and Safeguards Framework,[[54]](#endnote-54) but is concerned that it only applies to NDIS participants (approximately 10% of the Australian disability population).
2. The Commission notes the recommendations of the Senate Community Affairs References Committee *Inquiry into Violence, abuse and neglect against people with disability in institutional and residential settings*.[[55]](#endnote-55)
3. **That the Australian Government adopt a national approach to address violence against people with disability.**

## Access and democratic participation (ICCPR articles 2, 25 & 26; LOI 22)

1. People with disability face barriers to accessing the built environment, including schools, shops, workplaces, services and entertainment facilities.[[56]](#endnote-56) They are also unable to access communication and information systems, including media, on an equal basis with others.[[57]](#endnote-57)
2. The Commission is concerned that inaccessible premises can limit participation of people with disability in voting and other political processes,[[58]](#endnote-58) as can inability to access communication and information systems.
3. The Commission is also concerned about electoral laws that provide that persons of ‘unsound mind’ are not entitled to have their names on the electoral roll or to vote in elections, and may be removed from the electoral roll following objection.[[59]](#endnote-59) The ALRC has recommended the repeal of these provisions.[[60]](#endnote-60)
4. **That the Australian Government encourage monitoring, compliance and implementation of the *Disability (Access to Premises – Buildings) Standards 2010* and *Disability Standards for Accessible Public Transport 2002*, and ensure that people with disability have the requisite access to fully participate in the democratic process.**

## Women and girls with disability (ICCPR articles 2, 3, 7 & 26; LOI 10 & 11)

1. Women with disability experience intersectional inequality and violence at higher rates than the general population.[[61]](#endnote-61)
2. The Commission welcomes the *Third Action Plan (2016-19)* under the *National Plan to Reduce Violence Against Women and their Children* to “improve support to women with disability who experience or are at risk of violence by working with them, the disability sector, specialist family and domestic violence services and mainstream services” (Third Action Plan).[[62]](#endnote-62)
3. The Commission notes the *Stop the Violence Project*, which provides an evidence base to support future reform in service provision to better respond to the needs of women and girls with disability experiencing violence*.*[[63]](#endnote-63)
4. The Commission is concerned that the Third Action Plan focuses on domestic/family violence and sexual assault in the context of intimate partner relationships only and fails to address other forms of violence perpetrated against women and girls with disability.[[64]](#endnote-64) In particular, the Commission remains concerned that sterilisation of women and girls with disability, without consent, continues to occur in Australia.[[65]](#endnote-65)
5. The Commission is also concerned about the under-resourcing of accommodation and support services.[[66]](#endnote-66)
6. **That the Australian Government ensure that subsequent Action Plans to the *National Plan to Reduce Violence Against Women and their Children* continue to address and prevent gendered disability violence, including implementation of relevant recommendations from the *Stop the Violence Project* to improve the provision of support and services for people experiencing gendered disability violence.**
7. **That the Australian Government introduce legal protections to prevent sterilisation of women and girls with disability without consent.**
8. **That the Australian Government properly resource accommodation and support services for women and girls with disability.**

# Lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender and intersex people

## Access to stage 2 hormone treatment (ICCPR articles 17 & 26)

1. The Commission is concerned by the blanket requirement of authorisation by the Family Court of Australia for ‘stage 2’ hormone treatment of young people diagnosed with gender dysphoria.
2. The Commission refers to the current state of medical knowledge regarding the purpose for which stage 2 hormone treatment is provided, the nature of the treatment, and the risks involved in undergoing, withholding or delaying treatment.[[67]](#endnote-67) In the absence of disagreement among the child, the child’s medical team and the child’s parents or guardians, the Commission considers the requirement for court authorisation has a deleterious impact on the human rights of affected young people.
3. **That the Australian Government ensure that Australian law enables young people diagnosed with gender dysphoria to access stage 2 hormone treatment without court authorisation with the approval of their parents or guardians and medical team and in accordance with the relevant medical standards of care and treatment guidelines.**

## Access to surrogacy (ICCPR articles 2 & 26)

1. The Commission is concerned that, in one state, some same-sex couples are subject to prohibitions on being intended parents of domestic surrogacy.[[68]](#endnote-68)
2. **That the Australian Government encourage Western Australia to provide access to surrogacy arrangements for all same-sex couples as is provided to heterosexual couples.**

## Anti-discrimination laws (ICCPR articles 2 & 26; LOI 9)

1. The Commission commends the Government for passing amendments to the *Sex Discrimination Act 1984* (Cth) (SDA) in 2013 prohibiting discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation, gender identity and intersex (SOGII) status,[[69]](#endnote-69) subject to exceptions.[[70]](#endnote-70)
2. The Commission also commends the Government on its removal of exemptions for Australian state and territory laws from the operation of Australia’s national anti-discrimination laws.[[71]](#endnote-71) However, the Commission is concerned that some state and territory laws remain inconsistent with the protections against discrimination on the basis of SOGII status in the SDA*.*[[72]](#endnote-72)
3. **That the Australian Government, through the Council of Australian Governments, ensure consistency of all policies and laws with the protections against discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation, gender identity and intersex status in the *Sex Discrimination Act 1984* (Cth).**

## Births, deaths and marriages registration (ICCPR articles 2, 17 & 26)

1. The Commission is concerned that in all parts of Australia except the Australian Capital Territory and South Australia,[[73]](#endnote-73) a person must undergo surgical or medical treatment and also be unmarried to change the legal record of their sex.[[74]](#endnote-74)
2. The Commission notes the Committee’s recent decision, *G v Australia*, in which it found that Australia, by refusing to allow a transgender married person to have a birth certificate consistent with their sex unless they get a divorce, violated articles 17 and 26 of the ICCPR.[[75]](#endnote-75)
3. **That the Australian Government, through the Council of Australian Governments, encourage the removal of surgery and marital status requirements in births, deaths and marriages registration legislation.**

## Involuntary medical interventions (ICCPR articles 7 & 26)

1. The Commission is concerned that children born with intersex variations remain subject to medically unnecessary medical interventions in circumstances where they are unable to provide full and informed consent.[[76]](#endnote-76)
2. The Commission notes the recommendations of the 2013 Senate Community Affairs Committee inquiry into the involuntary or coerced sterilisation of intersex people**.**[[77]](#endnote-77)
3. **That the Australian Government implement the recommendations of the 2013 Senate Community Affairs Committee inquiry into the involuntary or coerced sterilisation of intersex people.**

## Marriage equality (ICCPR articles 2 & 26)

1. The *Marriage Act 1961* (Cth) (the Marriage Act) defines marriage as the union of a man and a woman to the exclusion of all others.[[78]](#endnote-78) The Commission considers that civil marriage should be available, without discrimination, to all couples, regardless of sex or SOGII status, on the basis of the fundamental human rights principles of equality and non-discrimination.[[79]](#endnote-79)
2. The Marriage Actcurrentlyallows ministers of religion to refuse to solemnise a marriage.[[80]](#endnote-80) The Commission considers that if the Marriage Act is amended to permit two people to marry, ministers of religion should be able refuse to solemnise a marriage in accordance with the doctrines, tenets and beliefs of their religious organisation.[[81]](#endnote-81)
3. Human rights reform should take place in accordance with ordinary legislative processes. The Commission considers that the Government’s voluntary, non-binding postal survey on the issue of marriage equality,[[82]](#endnote-82) is not an appropriate mechanism to resolve an issue of fundamental human rights.[[83]](#endnote-83)
4. The Commission notes the Committee’s recent decision, *C v Australia*, in which it found that Australia's denial of access to divorce proceedings to a same-sex couple who married overseas violated article 26 of the ICCPR.[[84]](#endnote-84)
5. **That the Australian Government legislate to enable two people to marry, irrespective of sex, sexual orientation, gender identity or intersex status, while enabling a minister of religion to refuse to solemnise a marriage in accordance with the doctrines, tenets and beliefs of the minister’s religious body or organisation.**

# Women

## Violence against women (ICCPR articles 2, 3, 7 & 26; LOI 10)

1. Violence against women in Australia remains endemic and continues to have a negative impact on the realisation of women’s civil and political rights.
2. The Commission commends efforts made to address violence against women under the *National Plan to Reduce Violence against Women and their Children 2010–22* (the National Plan).[[85]](#endnote-85) The Commission also commends the Government’s additional funding committed in 2015 to both prevention and response measures and services.[[86]](#endnote-86)
3. The Commission is concerned about the lack of Australia-wide data on domestic and family violence deaths. The Commission has highlighted the importance of the domestic and family violence death review process and proposed measures to ensure national coherence and collection of data on domestic and family violence deaths.[[87]](#endnote-87)
4. Gender inequality often intersects with other forms of inequality to affect the nature and prevalence of family and domestic violence. Women with disability, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women, lesbian, bisexual, trans and intersex women, and women from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds, often experience higher rates of family and domestic violence and face additional barriers to seeking help and support.[[88]](#endnote-88)
5. **That the Australian Government undertake further work to understand the nature and prevalence of family and domestic violence, and sexual assault and sexual harassment for women experiencing intersectional discrimination.**
6. **That all Australian Governments commit to strengthening national family and domestic violence data collection and reporting mechanisms.**

## Sexual assault and sexual harassment in the workplace and in university settings (ICCPR articles 2, 3, 7 & 26; LOI 10)

1. In 2012, the Commission reported in its *Working without fear: Results of the Sexual Harassment National Telephone Survey* report (*Working without fear* report) that 21% of people in Australia had been sexually harassed since the age of 15, with the majority (68%) experiencing this harassment in the workplace.[[89]](#endnote-89) The survey also found that sexual harassment affects more women than men. One-third of women (33%) had been sexually harassed since the age of 15, compared to 9% of men.[[90]](#endnote-90)
2. The Commission commends the Government for funding the Commission’s 2017 survey, which is underway.
3. The Commission conducted a national survey on sexual assault and sexual harassment in Australian universities and released a report in 2017 (*Change The Course* report). The Commission found that 51% of all university students were sexually harassed on at least one occasion in 2016, and 6.9% of students were sexually assaulted on at least one occasion in 2015 or 2016.[[91]](#endnote-91)
4. **That the Australian Government encourage universities to implement the *Change The Course* report recommendations to reduce the prevalence of sexual assault and sexual harassment in Australian universities.**
5. **That the Australian Government continue to ensure there are adequate protections in place to address sexual harassment in the workplace, with consideration of the identified strategies in the *Working without fear* report and the forthcoming outcomes of the Commission’s 2017 survey.**

## Women in defence (ICCPR articles 2, 3 & 26)

1. Since 2014, the Commission has been working in a collaborative relationship with the Australian Defence Force (ADF) to encourage cultural reform and enhance the participation of women. This builds on cultural reform initiatives in *Pathway to Change,* an implementation strategy for cultural change across the ADF.[[92]](#endnote-92) The Government has also commenced removing a reservation to CEDAW on women in defence combat roles.
2. **That the Australian Government continue to encourage cultural reform and enhance the participation of women in the Australian Defence Force, consistent with the initiatives in *Pathway to Change*.**

# Immigration and citizenship

## Refugees and asylum seekers (ICCPR articles 6, 7, 9, 10, 13 & 23; LOI 12, 14 & 15)

### Third country processing

1. Numerous reports and inquiries have repeatedly documented serious human rights concerns for people seeking asylum in Australia whose applications are processed in Nauru and Papua New Guinea (third country processing).[[93]](#endnote-93) Available evidence suggests that there has been limited improvement over time in the conditions under which these people are held.[[94]](#endnote-94) Significant safety concerns have also been identified, including allegations of assault, harassment and exploitation.[[95]](#endnote-95)
2. The combination of delays in processing asylum claims, harsh living conditions, concerns about physical safety, and uncertainty about the future has had a profoundly negative impact on the mental health outcomes of people subject to third country processing.[[96]](#endnote-96) These factors may also impel some asylum seekers to consider returning to their country of origin, even if they have a well-founded fear of persecution.[[97]](#endnote-97)
3. The Commission has ongoing concerns regarding the quality of refugee status determination under third country arrangements.[[98]](#endnote-98) The Commission is also aware of several cases in which same-sex attracted people have been sent to Nauru and Papua New Guinea, despite the fact that both countries criminalised same-sex sexual activity at the time.[[99]](#endnote-99)
4. **That the Australian Government ensure that the human rights of people subject to third country processing are adequately protected**.

### Mandatory immigration detention

1. Under the *Migration Act 1958* (Cth) (the Migration Act), immigration detention remains mandatory for all unlawful non-citizens.[[100]](#endnote-100) Australian courts do not have jurisdiction to remove a person from detention on the basis that their detention is arbitrary, and there is no legislative time limit on detention.
2. Numerous studies have documented high rates of mental health problems among people in immigration detention in Australia,[[101]](#endnote-101) with the negative impacts tending to worsen as detention becomes more prolonged.[[102]](#endnote-102)
3. Positive developments relating to immigration detention since 2009 include: the release of almost all children from closed facilities into alternative community arrangements;[[103]](#endnote-103) the closure of several detention facilities;[[104]](#endnote-104) increased use of community alternatives to detention; commitment to ratification of OPCAT; and the release of a number of refugees who previously had been detained indefinitely due to having received an adverse security assessment.
4. However, the Commission is concerned about an increase in long-term detention, an increase in the number of people detained due to visa cancellation, and a small number of refugees who continue to be indefinitely detained due to adverse security assessments.[[105]](#endnote-105)
5. **That the Australian Government continue to expand the use of alternatives to closed detention and, where immigration detention is necessary, ensure that it is time limited, strictly necessary (such as for the purpose of public health and security checks) and subject to individualised decision-making and judicial oversight.**

### Refoulement

1. Since December 2013, boats carrying asylum seekers which seek to enter Australia have been intercepted and returned to their point of departure. In some cases, people have been returned directly to their countries of origin after undergoing a screening process at sea.
2. The *Migration and Maritime Powers Legislation Amendment (Resolving the Asylum Legacy Caseload) Act 2014* (Cth) introduced a number of changes to Australia’s onshore refugee status determination process, including the removal of almost all references to the Refugee Convention from the Migration Act and the introduction of a truncated merits review process. In addition, most asylum seekers currently in Australia no longer have access to free government-funded legal advice to assist them in presenting their asylum claims.
3. The Commission is concerned these measures may increase the risk of *refoulement* for some asylum seekers.
4. **That the Australian Government ensure that its refugee status determination process is consistent with international human rights law and discontinue any practices obstructing a full and proper status determination process (such as the current practice of intercepting and turning back boats carrying asylum seekers).**
5. **That the *Migration and Maritime Powers Legislation Amendment (Resolving the Asylum Legacy Caseload) Act 2014* (Cth) be repealed.**
6. **That the Australian Government reinstate access to free legal advice for asylum seekers who are disadvantaged, regardless of their mode of arrival.**

**The Commission considers the issues raised in this section to be of such importance that the Committee should request an update from the Australian Government on progress in 12 months time.**

## Visa refusals and cancellations on character grounds (ICCPR articles 2, 12 & 13)

1. Under section 501 of the Migration Act, the Minister for Immigration or their delegate can refuse or cancel a visa on the basis that the person does not pass the ‘character test’. Legislation passed in 2014 significantly broadened the scope of section 501, resulting in an increase in visa refusals and cancellations on character grounds.
2. The Commission has previously raised concerns that decisions to refuse or cancel visas on character grounds under section 501 may lead to breaches of Australia’s international human rights obligations, including:
* the risk of *refoulement* if refugees subject to visa cancellation are subsequently deported;
* mandatory detention of people whose visas are cancelled under section 501, at times for prolonged periods;
* the deportation of long-term Australian residents who have little or no connection to their country of citizenship; and
* the separation of families resulting from such deportations.[[106]](#endnote-106)
1. **That the Australian Government put in place transparent decision-making and external review processes to ensure the exercise of discretionary power in s 501 of the Migration Act complies with international human rights law.**

## Strengthening citizenship requirements (ICCPR articles 2, 12 & 13)

1. The Commission is concerned about the Australian Citizenship Legislation Amendment (Strengthening the Requirements for Australian Citizenship and Other Measures) Bill 2017 (Cth), which, if passed, will make it more difficult to obtain Australian citizenship by imposing additional requirements on people seeking to obtain Australian citizenship by conferral;[[107]](#endnote-107) centralising discretionary power in the hands of the Minister to make decisions about who should and who should not be an Australian citizen, with very limited rights of external review; and reducing the ability of particular groups of people to qualify for citizenship.[[108]](#endnote-108) The bill is currently before the Australian Senate having passed the Australian House of Representatives.
2. **That the Australian Parliament not pass the Australian Citizenship Legislation Amendment (Strengthening the Requirements for Australian Citizenship and Other Measures) Bill 2017 in its current form.**

# Children and young people

## Child protection (ICCPR article 24; LOI 20)

1. The Commission is concerned that the rate of children in out-of-home care has increased,[[109]](#endnote-109) with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children[[110]](#endnote-110) and children with disability[[111]](#endnote-111) overrepresented.
2. The Commission commends the allocation of $5.1 million for the implementation of the Third Action Plan of the *National Framework for Protecting Australia’s Children 2009–2020* (National Framework), which will help support early intervention and child safe approaches.[[112]](#endnote-112) However, the Commission is concerned that this funding is inadequate to achieve its objectives.
3. The Commission submits that work should be undertaken under the National Framework to develop specific principles and practice standards regarding children with disability in the care and protection system.
4. **That the Australian Government strengthen early intervention programs that support vulnerable families and improve data collection relating to child protection, out-of-home care, and the prevalence and nature of child abuse and neglect.**

## Violence against children and young people (ICCPR articles 7, 9 & 24)

1. Children are witnesses, bystanders and direct victims of violence in the home. Experiences of family and domestic violence have long-term, detrimental effects on children.[[113]](#endnote-113) Children living with family and domestic violence are at an increased risk of experiencing emotional, physical and sexual abuse.[[114]](#endnote-114) There are also clear links between child suicide and self-harm and domestic violence.[[115]](#endnote-115)
2. The impact of family and domestic violence on some groups of children is further compounded by experiences of discrimination and marginalisation, particularly Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children, children with disability, children from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds and children who are lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender or intersex.[[116]](#endnote-116)
3. In 2015, the National Children’s Commissioner investigated the impact of family and domestic violence on children across Australia. Data sourced for the investigation indicated that one in every 12 people first experienced physical abuse as a child before the age of 15 years perpetrated by a family member, and one in every 28 people first experienced sexual abuse as a child before the age of 15 years perpetrated by family member.[[117]](#endnote-117) The Commission notes the findings and recommendations made by the National Children’s Commissioner in Chapter 4 of the *Children’s Rights Report 2015*.[[118]](#endnote-118)
4. The Commission welcomed the establishment of the Royal Commission into Institutional Responses to Child Sexual Abuse in 2012 to investigate the sexual abuse of children within private, public and non-government institutions. The Commission stresses the need for an enhanced evidence base through improved data collection on institutional abuse.[[119]](#endnote-119) The Commission notes that the Royal Commission is due to deliver its final report by 15 December 2017.
5. The Commission welcomes the amendments to the *Family Law Act 1975* (Cth) in 2012, which strengthen protection of the rights of the child, especially in violent situations,[[120]](#endnote-120) and efforts by government and across the community to address cyber-bullying and online safety of children.[[121]](#endnote-121)
6. **That the Australian Government implement the National Children’s Commissioner’s recommendations in the *Children’s Rights Report 2015* (Chapter 4).**
7. **That the Australian Government maintain a public register that monitors all Australian governments’ compliance with, and implementation of, the recommendations of the Royal Commission into Institutional Abuse of Children once released, and that remedies be provided to victims. The Commission considers this inquiry to be of such importance that the Committee should request an update from the Australian Government on progress of implementation in 12 months time.**

## Self-harm (ICCPR articles 7, 9 & 24; LOI 20)

1. In 2014, the National Children’s Commissioner conducted an investigation into intentional self-harm by children, reporting that intentional self-harm is the leading cause of death among children and young people aged 15 to 24 years in Australia.[[122]](#endnote-122) The Commission notes the findings and recommendations made by the National Children’s Commissioner in Chapter 3 of the *Children’s Rights Report 2014*.[[123]](#endnote-123)
2. **That the Australian Government implement the National Children’s Commissioner’s recommendations in the *Children’s Rights Report 2014* (Chapter 3).**

## Juvenile justice (ICCPR articles 7, 9 & 24; LOI 20)

### Detention

1. The Commission is concerned by the treatment of children in, and the conditions of, juvenile justice detention across Australia, including the disproportionate use of force, isolation and restraints against children in juvenile detention facilities, and the lack of monitoring, reporting and accountability in relation to these practices.
2. The Commission continues to express concern about the number of children being detained on remand in juvenile justice centres. The Commission is also concerned that child offenders are sometimes held in the same correctional centres as adults.[[124]](#endnote-124)
3. In 2016, the National Children’s Commissioner examined the oversight of youth justice in Australia, and the readiness of youth justice processes for the implementation of OPCAT. The Commission draws the Committee’s attention to the findings and recommendations made by the National Children’s Commissioner in Chapter 3 of the *Children’s Rights Report 2016.*[[125]](#endnote-125)
4. Noting that the Northern Territory has the highest rate of children and young people in detention in Australia,[[126]](#endnote-126) the Commission welcomes the establishment of the Royal Commission into the Protection and Detention of Children in the Northern Territory.[[127]](#endnote-127) The Commission notes that the Royal Commission will deliver its final report on 17 November 2017.
5. The Commission notes that several jurisdictions have commenced reviews into their juvenile justice systems, and some jurisdictions have progressed the use of non-custodial measures and strengthened diversionary programs.[[128]](#endnote-128)
6. **That the Australian Government implement the National Children’s Commissioner’s recommendations in the *Children’s Rights Report 2016* (Chapter 3).**
7. **That all Australian Governments develop alternative behaviour management techniques to reduce the use of force, isolation and restraints against children in juvenile detention facilities.**
8. **That all Australian Governments cease detention of children in adult facilities.**
9. **That all Australian Governments expand the use of diversionary programs for children and young people.**

### Mandatory sentencing

1. In the *Children’s Rights Report* *2016*, it was reported that Western Australia’s mandatory minimum sentencing laws arguably contribute to the comparatively high rates of detention and the overrepresentation of young Aboriginal people in Banksia Hill Detention Centre.[[129]](#endnote-129)
2. **That mandatory sentencing for children and young people should be discontinued in all jurisdictions.**

### Minimum age of criminal responsibility

1. The Commission continues to express concern about the lack of action on raising the minimum age of criminal responsibility to an internationally-accepted level.[[130]](#endnote-130)
2. **That the age of criminal responsibility should be raised from 10 years to 12 years in the first instance, with preservation of the common law presumption of *doli incapax*.**

# Rights and freedoms

## Freedom of religion (ICCPR articles 18 & 27; LOI 21)

1. The Commission notes that people in Australia generally can manifest their religion or belief without significant obstacles or impediments. However, discrimination on the basis of religion may occur through public harassment, prejudice, violence, and myriad forms of unequal treatment.
2. Fear of discrimination and prejudice may restrict people from practising their religion, disclosing to others their religious belief or affiliation, or presenting themselves as visibly religious (such as through their clothing). Some Muslim Australians, for instance, have altered their participation in public life and cancelled public events due to fears of being attacked or abused for their religion.[[131]](#endnote-131)
3. Attacks on places of worship and on people who are visibly religious are regularly reported in the news media. Attacks on and threats towards mosques and Islamic prayer rooms,[[132]](#endnote-132) synagogues,[[133]](#endnote-133) and churches[[134]](#endnote-134) occur, as do instances of public abuse and physical attack on people perceived to belong to a particular religion, such as Muslims,[[135]](#endnote-135) Jews[[136]](#endnote-136) and Sikhs.[[137]](#endnote-137)
4. The Commission notes that freedom of religion or belief is not directly protected under federal anti-discrimination law. The Commission considers that consideration should be given to how federal law could further incorporate international human rights law relating to religion, so as better to protect people from discrimination and other breaches of human rights on the basis of their religious belief and observance.
5. The Commission notes that a parliamentary inquiry is underway relating to the status of the right to freedom of religion in Australia.[[138]](#endnote-138)
6. **That the Australian Government consider how Australian law could further incorporate international human rights law relating to religious belief and observance.**

## Freedom of expression (ICCPR article 19)

### Secrecy laws

1. The Commission notes the ALRC’s 2009 report, *Secrecy Laws and Open Government*.[[139]](#endnote-139)
2. The Commission is concerned about provisions in the *Australian Border Force Act* *2015* (Cth) that prohibit disclosure of any information obtained by a person in their official capacity (subject to some exceptions), including those working in immigration detention centres. The Commission welcomes the Australian Border Force Amendment (Protected Information) Bill 2017 (Cth), which would reduce the scope of these criminal secrecy provisions. The Commission has recommended that the Government pass this bill with certain amendments.[[140]](#endnote-140)
3. **That the Australian Parliament pass the Australian Border Force Amendment (Protected Information) Bill 2017(Cth) with certain amendments, as previously recommended by the Commission.**
4. **That the Australian Government amend other secrecy laws in accordance with the recommendations of the ALRC in its *Secrecy Laws and Open Governmen*t report.**

### Anti-protest laws

1. The Commission notes that Tasmania has introduced anti-protest laws in order to protect business interests,[[141]](#endnote-141) and that Queensland passed anti-protest laws in connection with the G20 Summit.[[142]](#endnote-142) The Commission is concerned that these laws impinge disproportionately on democratic rights and freedoms including the freedoms of expression, association and peaceful assembly.
2. **That Australian parliaments ensure that all laws that regulate protest activity do not impinge disproportionately on freedom of expression, freedom of association and freedom of peaceful assembly.**

### Freedom to advocate

1. The Commission is concerned that the Government’s *National Partnership Agreement on Legal Assistance Services* could have the effect of prohibiting or deterring advocacy by community organisations.[[143]](#endnote-143) The Commission is also concerned by proposals that could reduce the capacity of civil society organisations to engage in debate on important issues of public interest, including at the time of elections.[[144]](#endnote-144)
2. **That the Australian Government ensure that funding agreements, laws and policies do not unreasonably and disproportionately restrict civil society organisations from engaging in law reform, policy or advocacy work on issues of public interest.**

### Inquiries

1. The Commission notes:
* the ALRC’s report, *Traditional Rights and Freedoms — Encroachments by Commonwealth Laws*.[[145]](#endnote-145)
* the PJCHR’s report in relation to whether the operation of Part IIA of the *Racial Discrimination Act 1975* (Cth) (RDA) imposes unreasonable restrictions upon freedom of speech.[[146]](#endnote-146)
* the current Senate inquiry into laws of contempt in Australia, which will consider how competing principles, including freedom of speech, are balanced in contempt laws.[[147]](#endnote-147)
1. The Commission considers that a broad inquiry into the protection of freedom of expression in Australia is warranted, covering criminal laws,[[148]](#endnote-148) secrecy laws,[[149]](#endnote-149) laws regarding participation in the democratic process,[[150]](#endnote-150) media, broadcasting and communications laws and freedom of information laws.
2. **That the Australian Government commission an independent, broadly focused inquiry into freedom of expression in Australia.**

# Racial discrimination (ICCPR articles 20 & 26; LOI 21)

1. The Commission is concerned that racial discrimination continues to affect migrants and Australia-born people of many cultural backgrounds.
2. The Special Rapporteur on the rights of indigenous peoples has described the prevalence of racism against Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people as ‘deeply disturbing’.[[151]](#endnote-151)
3. Among migrants, those from African countries, including Ethiopia, Kenya, Zimbabwe and South Sudan, have reported particularly high levels of discrimination and racial profiling.[[152]](#endnote-152) A national survey found strong negative attitudes towards immigrants from Middle Eastern countries compared to immigrants from elsewhere.[[153]](#endnote-153)
4. A Foundation of Young Australians report found that over 80% of non-Anglo Australian background children indicated they had been subjected to some form of racism.[[154]](#endnote-154) Young people from such backgrounds, particularly those of African descent, report concerns about encountering racism from public institutions, including police.[[155]](#endnote-155)
5. The Commission refers to the recommendations made in its submission to the PJCHR inquiry into Part IIA of the RDA.[[156]](#endnote-156) The Commission also refers to its National Anti-Racism Strategy, which incorporates the *Racism. It Stops with Me* campaign.[[157]](#endnote-157)
6. **That the Australian Government continue to fund and support initiatives to address racism and racial discrimination.**
7. **That the Australian Government recognise the importance of maintaining effective legal protections against racial discrimination in setting a standard for public conduct in a multicultural society.**

# Counter-terrorism

1. The Commission recognises the vital importance of ensuring that intelligence and law enforcement agencies have appropriate powers to protect Australia’s national security and to protect the community from terrorism. Indeed, such steps are consistent with Australia’s obligation to protect the right to life of persons within Australia’s jurisdiction, enshrined in article 6 of the ICCPR.
2. The Commission also recognises that human rights law accepts, subject to certain conditions, that the exercise of those powers might impinge to some extent on individual rights and freedoms. However, any such limitation on human rights must be clearly expressed, unambiguous in its terms, and necessary and proportionate in how it responds to potential harm.

## Independent National Security Legislation Monitor (ICCPR article 2; LOI 7)

1. The Commission notes the role of the Independent National Security Legislation Monitor (INSLM).[[158]](#endnote-158) The INSLM reviews the operation, effectiveness and implications of Australia’s counter-terrorism and national security legislation on an ongoing basis. In performing that function, the INSLM has regard to Australia’s international human rights obligations.[[159]](#endnote-159)
2. The Commission is concerned that the Government has passed counter-terrorism measures despite concerns raised by the INSLM. This has occurred in relation to the control order[[160]](#endnote-160) and preventative detention order[[161]](#endnote-161) regimes. These provisions are currently the subject of further review by the INSLM and a parliamentary joint committee.[[162]](#endnote-162)
3. **That the Australian Government consider the concerns raised by the Independent National Security Legislation Monitor in ensuring that counter-terrorism measures do not unnecessarily limit human rights.**

## Recent measures (ICCPR articles 7, 9, 12, 14, 17 & 26; LOI 7)

1. The Commission considers that the following counter-terrorism laws may disproportionately restrict rights under the ICCPR.[[163]](#endnote-163)

### Control Orders and Preventative Detention Order regimes[[164]](#endnote-164)

1. These regimes may allow for the arbitrary detention of individuals; may result in arbitrary interference with the right to privacy and the freedoms of movement, expression and association; and do not provide effective review procedures.[[165]](#endnote-165)
2. The Commission has recommended that, absent compelling evidence that the provisions are necessary and proportionate to achieving a legitimate objective, the preventative detention order regime should be repealed,[[166]](#endnote-166) and the control orders regime should be amended to comply with international human rights law, or, failing that, should also be repealed.[[167]](#endnote-167)

### Post-sentence detention[[168]](#endnote-168)

1. This measure permits the continued detention of people convicted of a range of terrorism-related offences after the expiration of their sentences in circumstances where they are assessed as posing an unacceptable risk to community safety and that risk cannot be managed in a less restrictive way.[[169]](#endnote-169) The Commission has recommended that these provisions be amended so as to achieve their legitimate purpose without impinging disproportionately on the human rights of those affected.
2. The Commission notes that the Committee has considered post-sentence preventative detention schemes in Australia outside of the counter-terrorism context, in relation to two people convicted of sex offences. The Committee found these laws violated article 9 of the ICCPR.[[170]](#endnote-170)

### ’Stop, search and seize’ powers[[171]](#endnote-171)

1. These powers involve restrictions on the freedom of movement and the right to privacy. The Commission is concerned that the breadth of these powers is not proportionate to the legitimate need to protect public safety.[[172]](#endnote-172)

### ‘Declared areas’ offences[[173]](#endnote-173)

1. These offences, aimed at ‘foreign fighters’, criminalise entry into and remaining in ‘declared areas’, unless solely for a ‘legitimate’ purpose. The Commission has expressed concern that, by potentially capturing a wide range of innocent conduct, and making that conduct subject to severe criminal penalty, in the absence of a demonstrated compelling need, the ‘declared area’ provisions may unjustifiably limit freedom of movement and the right to family life.[[174]](#endnote-174)

### Revocation of citizenship[[175]](#endnote-175)

1. Recent amendments provide for the revocation of a dual national’s Australian citizenship:
* automatically, if they engage in certain kinds of conduct (mostly terrorism-related); and
* by Ministerial determination, if they are convicted of certain offences (mostly terrorism-related).
1. The Commission has expressed concern that these measures arbitrarily impair the right of Australians to enter or remain in their own country, and interfere with their family and family life.
2. The Commission has also expressed concern that these amendments: apply to children aged 10–14 years; are retrospective; do not entitle the person to make submissions on the revocation of their citizenship; and lack a requirement for a criminal conviction prior to revocation of citizenship for some offences.[[176]](#endnote-176)

### Other measures

1. The Commission has also expressed concern in relation to reforms seeking to: limit journalists’ ability to report on terrorist-related issues; expand the powers of security agencies to obtain information without warrant; introduce a mandatory data retention scheme; expand monitoring powers; and increase secrecy in certain terrorism-related court proceedings.[[177]](#endnote-177)
2. **That the Australian Government ensure that where counter-terrorism laws limit human rights, the limitation is clearly expressed, necessary for the pursuit of a legitimate purpose, reasonable and proportionate. The Commission considers this issue to be of such importance that the Committee should request an update from the Australian Government on progress in 12 months time.**

# Human trafficking and slavery (ICCPR article 7 & 8; LOI 16)

1. The Commission commends the Government’s development of a *National Action Plan to Combat Human Trafficking and Slavery 2015–2019*[[178]](#endnote-178)and supporting initiatives.[[179]](#endnote-179) The Commission notes that adequate funding is needed for the full implementation of the National Action Plan.
2. The Commission welcomes the Interdepartmental Committee on Human Trafficking and Slavery.[[180]](#endnote-180)
3. The Commission commends legislative amendments that strengthen Australia’s response to human trafficking, including by recognising various slavery-like practices as offences[[181]](#endnote-181) and extending witness protections to victims of trafficking.[[182]](#endnote-182)
4. The Special Rapporteur on trafficking in persons recommended the Government establish a federal, comprehensive compensation scheme for survivors of trafficking.[[183]](#endnote-183) The Commission encourages the Government to develop such a scheme.[[184]](#endnote-184)
5. The Commission is concerned by a lack of data and research on the prevalence of child trafficking and slavery in Australia.
6. The Commission considers that specific policy guidelines and support services need to be developed to protect the rights of child survivors of trafficking and slavery.[[185]](#endnote-185)
7. The Commission notes that unregulated international surrogacy arrangements raise concerns about the potential for the trafficking of women and children.[[186]](#endnote-186) The Commission acknowledges the recent parliamentary inquiry and report into the regulatory and legislative aspects of international and domestic surrogacy arrangements, *Surrogacy Matters*.[[187]](#endnote-187)
8. **That the Australian Government fully implement the *National Action Plan to Combat Human Trafficking and Slavery 2015–2019*; develop a federal compensation scheme for survivors of human trafficking and slavery; ensure regular collection of data on the prevalence of child trafficking and slavery in Australia and the provision of specialist support services to child survivors; and develop a regulatory regime addressing international surrogacy arrangements.**

# Business and human rights

## National Action Plan on Business and Human Rights (ICCPR article 2)

1. The Commission commends the Australian business community’s support for the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGP) through the Global Compact Network Australia.[[188]](#endnote-188) The Commission notes that the Government is undertaking a national consultation on the implementation of the UNGP.[[189]](#endnote-189)
2. The Commission considers that the National Action Plan on Business and Human Rights should have clear links with the *National Action Plan to Combat Human Trafficking and* Slavery 2015*–*2019.
3. **That the Australian Government formulate a National Action Plan on Business and Human Rights, in consultation with the business sector, and that it have clear links with the *National Action Plan to Combat Human Trafficking and Slavery 2015–2019*.**

## Modern slavery (ICCPR articles 2 & 8; LOI 2, 4 & 16)

### Modern Slavery Reporting Requirement

1. The Commission welcomes the Government's proposal for large corporations and other entities operating in Australia to publish annual statements outlining their actions to address modern slavery in their operations and supply chains.[[190]](#endnote-190) At this stage, it is not proposed that these requirements will apply to Australian governments, despite them having supply chains of significant scale.
2. The Commission notes that the Government’s national consultation process to refine the Government’s proposed model is underway.

### Modern Slavery Act

1. The Commission welcomes the Joint Standing Committee on Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade inquiry into establishing a Modern Slavery Act in Australia. The Commission also notes the Joint Committee’s recommendation that the Government consider supporting in-principle the development of a Modern Slavery Act in Australia, including:
* supply-chain reporting requirements for companies, businesses, organisations and governments in Australia; and
* an Independent Anti-Slavery Commissioner, subject to reviewing the recommendations of the Committee’s final report.[[191]](#endnote-191)
1. **That the Australian Government develop a Modern Slavery Act in Australia.**

## Procurement (ICCPR articles 2 & 8; LOI 2, 4 & 16)

1. The Commonwealth Procurement Rules do not explicitly refer to human rights. The Commission notes that the Australian regulatory framework is insufficient to prevent human rights abuses from occurring in Commonwealth procurement.[[192]](#endnote-192)
2. A parliamentary committee has recommended that the Government develop a procurement policy requiring Commonwealth agencies to evaluate suppliers’ compliance with human rights regulation.[[193]](#endnote-193) Another parliamentary committee has noted that consideration of modern slavery risks should be included in this procurement policy.[[194]](#endnote-194)
3. **That the Australian Government amend the Commonwealth Procurement Rules to align with the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, including in regard to human rights due diligence in procurement.**

# Attachment 1: Compilation of Recommendations

**General**

1. **That the Australian Government ensure that concerns raised by the Parliamentary Joint Committee on Human Rights are fully considered in the legislative process.**
2. **That the Australian Government ensure that all statements of compatibility are consistently of a high standard and are supported by evidence and analysis.**
3. **That the Australian Government further incorporate the ICCPR into Australian legislation**.
4. **That the Australian Government support human rights education for all areas of the public sector, particularly in the administration of justice and places of detention, including targeted initiatives for public officials; and incorporate more comprehensive human rights education (including ICCPR rights) in the national school curriculum.**

**Prevention of torture**

1. **That the Australian Government fulfil its commitment to ratify OPCAT by December 2017, in accordance with its timetable, and commence its progressive implementation immediately thereafter. The Commission considers this issue to be of such importance that the Committee should request an update from the Australian Government on progress in 12 months time.**

**Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples**

1. **That the Australian Government, in partnership with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, develop a National Strategy to give effect to the *UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples*.**

**Further, that the Declaration be included in the definition of ‘human rights’ in the *Human Rights (Parliamentary Scrutiny) Act 2011* (Cth) to ensure that new legislation is regularly assessed for conformity with the Declaration.**

1. **That all Australian governments commit to national justice targets to reduce the rates of imprisonment of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander adults and juveniles, and resource a national strategy to achieve this. The Commission considers this issue to be of such importance that the Committee should request an update from the Australian Government on progress in 12 months time.**
2. **That the Australian Government:**
* **ensure that any reforms that affect Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples’ lands are undertaken with their free, prior and informed consent.**
* **implement the recommendations of the ALRC’s Connection to Country Review of the *Native Title Act 1993* (Cth) and the Council of Australian Governments’ Investigation into Indigenous Land Administration and Use in order to better recognise and protect Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples’ native title rights and interests.**
* **ensure that Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples’ rights to their traditional estates are not negatively affected by the development of northern Australia.**
1. **That the Australian Government:**
* **commit to cut the disproportionate rates of violence against Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people to close the gap by 2040 (with priority strategies for women and children);**
* **prioritise early intervention and prevention initiatives that provide comprehensive support and protection from violence to vulnerable Indigenous populations including women, children and the elderly.**
1. **That the Australian Government provide adequate ongoing funding of the National Congress of Australia’s First Peoples and to Indigenous legal assistance programs.**
2. **That the Australian Government develop with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples a model for constitutional recognition and for a First Nations’ voice to Parliament.**
3. **That the Australian Government develop the *Plan to Improve Outcomes for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander People with Disability.***

**Older persons**

1. **That the Australian Government implement recommendations from the ALRC’s report *Elder Abuse—A National Legal Response* and continue to work towards the development of a national plan to tackle elder abuse.**
2. **That the Australian Government implement the recommendations in the *Willing to Work* Report to reduce employment discrimination against older Australians and Australians with disability.**

**People with disability**

1. **That the Australian Government, through the Council of Australian Governments, develop appropriate support for people with disability, particularly those with mental health disorders and cognitive impairment, including national guidelines on ‘fitness to plead’.**
2. **That the Australian Government adopt a national approach to address violence against people with disability.**
3. **That the Australian Government encourage monitoring, compliance and implementation of the *Disability (Access to Premises – Buildings) Standards 2010* and *Disability Standards for Accessible Public Transport 2002*, and ensure that people with disability have the requisite access to fully participate in the democratic process.**
4. **That the Australian Government ensure that subsequent Action Plans to the *National Plan to Reduce Violence Against Women and their Children* continue to address and prevent gendered disability violence, including implementation of relevant recommendations from the *Stop the Violence Project* to improve the provision of support and services for people experiencing gendered disability violence.**
5. **That the Australian Government introduce legal protections to prevent sterilisation of women and girls with disability without consent.**
6. **That the Australian Government properly resource accommodation and support services for women and girls with disability.**

**Lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender and intersex people**

1. **That the Australian Government ensure that Australian law enables young people diagnosed with gender dysphoria to access stage 2 hormone treatment without court authorisation with the approval of their parents or guardians and medical team and in accordance with the relevant medical standards of care and treatment guidelines.**
2. **That the Australian Government encourage Western Australia to provide access to surrogacy arrangements for all same-sex couples as is provided to heterosexual couples.**
3. **That the Australian Government, through the Council of Australian Governments, ensure consistency of all policies and laws with the protections against discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation, gender identity and intersex status in the *Sex Discrimination Act 1984* (Cth).**
4. **That the Australian Government, through the Council of Australian Governments, encourage the removal of surgery and marital status requirements in births, deaths and marriages registration legislation.**
5. **That the Australian Government implement the recommendations of the 2013 Senate Community Affairs Committee inquiry into the involuntary or coerced sterilisation of intersex people.**
6. **That the Australian Government legislate to enable two people to marry, irrespective of sex, sexual orientation, gender identity or intersex status, while enabling a minister of religion to refuse to solemnise a marriage in accordance with the doctrines, tenets and beliefs of the minister’s religious body or organisation.**

**Women**

1. **That the Australian Government undertake further work to understand the nature and prevalence of family and domestic violence, and sexual assault and sexual harassment for women experiencing intersectional discrimination.**
2. **That all Australian Governments commit to strengthening national family and domestic violence data collection and reporting mechanisms.**
3. **That the Australian Government encourage universities to implement the *Change The Course* report recommendations to reduce the prevalence of sexual assault and sexual harassment in Australian universities.**
4. **That the Australian Government continue to ensure there are adequate protections in place to address sexual harassment in the workplace, with consideration of the identified strategies in the *Working without fear* report and the forthcoming outcomes of the Commission’s 2017 survey.**
5. **That the Australian Government continue to encourage cultural reform and enhance the participation of women in the Australian Defence Force, consistent with the initiatives in *Pathway to Change*.**

**Immigration and citizenship**

1. **That the Australian Government ensure that the human rights of people subject to third country processing are adequately protected**.
2. **That the Australian Government continue to expand the use of alternatives to closed detention and, where immigration detention is necessary, ensure that it is time limited, strictly necessary (such as for the purpose of public health and security checks) and subject to individualised decision-making and judicial oversight.**
3. **That the Australian Government ensure that its refugee status determination process is consistent with international human rights law and discontinue any practices obstructing a full and proper status determination process (such as the current practice of intercepting and turning back boats carrying asylum seekers).**
4. **That the *Migration and Maritime Powers Legislation Amendment (Resolving the Asylum Legacy Caseload) Act 2014* (Cth) be repealed.**
5. **That the Australian Government reinstate access to free legal advice for asylum seekers who are disadvantaged, regardless of their mode of arrival.**

**The Commission considers the issues raised in this section to be of such importance that the Committee should request an update from the Australian Government on progress in 12 months time.**

1. **That the Australian Government put in place transparent decision-making and external review processes to ensure the exercise of discretionary power in s 501 of the Migration Act complies with international human rights law.**
2. **That the Australian Parliament not pass the Australian Citizenship Legislation Amendment (Strengthening the Requirements for Australian Citizenship and Other Measures) Bill 2017 in its current form.**

**Children and young people**

1. **That the Australian Government strengthen early intervention programs that support vulnerable families and improve data collection relating to child protection, out-of-home care, and the prevalence and nature of child abuse and neglect.**
2. **That the Australian Government implement the National Children’s Commissioner’s recommendations in the *Children’s Rights Report 2015* (Chapter 4).**
3. **That the Australian Government maintain a public register that monitors all Australian governments’ compliance with, and implementation of, the recommendations of the Royal Commission into Institutional Abuse of Children once released, and that remedies be provided to victims. The Commission considers this inquiry to be of such importance that the Committee should request an update from the Australian Government on progress of implementation in 12 months time.**
4. **That the Australian Government implement the National Children’s Commissioner’s recommendations in the *Children’s Rights Report 2014* (Chapter 3).**
5. **That the Australian Government implement the National Children’s Commissioner’s recommendations in the *Children’s Rights Report 2016* (Chapter 3).**
6. **That all Australian Governments develop alternative behaviour management techniques to reduce the use of force, isolation and restraints against children in juvenile detention facilities.**
7. **That all Australian Governments cease detention of children in adult facilities.**
8. **That all Australian Governments expand the use of diversionary programs for children and young people.**
9. **That mandatory sentencing for children and young people should be discontinued in all jurisdictions.**
10. **That the age of criminal responsibility should be raised from 10 years to 12 years in the first instance, with preservation of the common law presumption of *doli incapax*.**

**Rights and freedoms**

1. **That the Australian Government consider how Australian law could further incorporate international human rights law relating to religious belief and observance.**
2. **That the Australian Parliament pass the Australian Border Force Amendment (Protected Information) Bill 2017(Cth) with certain amendments, as previously recommended by the Commission.**
3. **That the Australian Government amend other secrecy laws in accordance with the recommendations of the ALRC in its *Secrecy Laws and Open Governmen*t report.**
4. **That Australian parliaments ensure that all laws that regulate protest activity do not impinge disproportionately on freedom of expression, freedom of association and freedom of peaceful assembly.**
5. **That the Australian Government ensure that funding agreements, laws and policies do not unreasonably and disproportionately restrict civil society organisations from engaging in law reform, policy or advocacy work on issues of public interest.**
6. **That the Australian Government commission an independent, broadly focused inquiry into freedom of expression in Australia.**

**Racial discrimination**

1. **That the Australian Government continue to fund and support initiatives to address racism and racial discrimination.**
2. **That the Australian Government recognise the importance of maintaining effective legal protections against racial discrimination in setting a standard for public conduct in a multicultural society.**

**Counter-terrorism**

1. **That the Australian Government consider the concerns raised by the Independent National Security Legislation Monitor in ensuring that counter-terrorism measures do not unnecessarily limit human rights.**
2. **That the Australian Government ensure that where counter-terrorism laws limit human rights, the limitation is clearly expressed, necessary for the pursuit of a legitimate purpose, reasonable and proportionate. The Commission considers this issue to be of such importance that the Committee should request an update from the Australian Government on progress in 12 months time.**

**Human trafficking and slavery**

1. **That the Australian Government fully implement the *National Action Plan to Combat Human Trafficking and Slavery 2015–2019*; develop a federal compensation scheme for survivors of human trafficking and slavery; ensure regular collection of data on the prevalence of child trafficking and slavery in Australia and the provision of specialist support services to child survivors; and develop a regulatory regime addressing international surrogacy arrangements.**

**Business and human rights**

1. **That the Australian Government formulate a National Action Plan on Business and Human Rights, in consultation with the business sector, and that it have clear links with the *National Action Plan to Combat Human Trafficking and Slavery 2015–2019*.**
2. **That the Australian Government develop a Modern Slavery Act in Australia.**
3. **That the Australian Government amend the Commonwealth Procurement Rules to align with the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, including in regard to human rights due diligence in procurement.**
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